



3. Forecasting Volatility in the Stock Market

Aarvav Bharadwaj Manduva

Bachelor of Science and Finance

University of Nebraska-Lincoln,

Lincoln, NE.

ABSTRACT

Utilizing an extensive array of technical indicators built upon historical stock price, volatility, and trading volume patterns, one may forecast the volatility of stock returns. Our out-of-sample findings show that adding technical variables to the autoregression benchmark can result in volatility projections that are far more accurate. We also compare the combined technical indicator predicting performance to that of the widely used economic indicators. This study forecasts stock market volatility and applies it to asset allocation, a common finance problem. We combine the drivers' predictive data to estimate market volatilities using machine learning and model averaging techniques. We confirm that the high-dimensional models outperform the typical volatility models in terms of prediction using a variety of evaluation techniques. This review's primary goal is to look at efficient GARCH models that are suggested for doing market returns and volatility analysis. This paper will talk about. predicting changes in stock market volatility.

KEYWORDS

Forecasting Volatility, Stock Market, Stock Price, Trading Volume, Volatility Models, Risk Management, Financial, Implied Volatility, Statistical, Forecasting Techniques

Introduction:

The study and measurement of price changes in a security over a given time period is known as volatility analysis. A mathematical analysis of a financial instrument's price fluctuation over time is called volatility analysis. Since volatility analysis aids in the quantification and analysis of risk for investors, it is a crucial concept in the stock market. It offers a statistical gauge of how quickly and how much prices shift. Because volatility directly affects a security's potential profitability and risk, it is an important factor to take into account while assessing an investment. The important interests of investors are closely linked to the stock market's volatility, which is necessary to preserve a stable financial environment. Excellent professional traders can determine the direction of stock movements, whether investment is worthwhile, and whether to trade for the long term or the short term by analyzing changes in data. The purpose of this article is to examine stock market volatility forecasting

techniques, including the integration of multiparty data, in-depth analysis of data changes' direction, prediction of stock market price fluctuations, and improved stockholder investment guidance. In order to study price movements in the stock market and choose the most effective risk prediction approach, this research suggests a multisource data fusion method. [1]

In risk management, variance or standard deviation is frequently employed as the risk measure. The Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (ARCH) model was first presented by Engle (1982) and is used to represent time-varying conditional variance in financial time series. Another well-liked approach for predicting stochastic volatility is the generalized arch (GARCH) model, which was expanded by Bollerslev (1986). Generally speaking, these models are employed in a number of econometrics fields, most notably financial time series analysis. In addition, there have been several empirical applications of modeling financial time series variance (volatility) since the development of the ARCH and GARCH models. Leptokurtosis and volatility clustering in a series are accounted for by the GARCH model, despite the fact that it cannot account for the leverage effect. This led to the development of additional and extended models over GARCH, which are known as GARCH-M, EGARCH, TGARCH, and PGARCH. [2]

The theory of data prediction and categorization is the resultant integration of various fields, including computer science, economics, management science, and mathematics. Energy price market research, financial market price prediction and risk administration, biological information identification, business intelligence customer behavior analysis, and many other sectors all make extensive use of multidimensional data fusion.

The nation's stability and prosperity are greatly supported by the financial market, which is a major factor behind the steady development of the domestic economic system. More professionals and academics are starting to pay attention to the financial sector as the financial market's function has grown in importance. Experts and academics are becoming more interested in stocks as a significant component of the financial industry. Although stock market prediction has been a popular area of study, the stock market is unpredictable and difficult to understand. In financial and economic study, volatility is a major topic. One of the key features of the financial markets is volatility. It is closely linked to market uncertainty and influences how both individuals and businesses make investments. The variance of the rate of return is a common way to characterize and quantify the volatility of financial asset returns, which is one of the central concerns of contemporary financial research.

Though there are many models and methodologies available, not all of them are equally effective in predicting perfect market volatility, and forecasting perfect market volatility is a challenging task. This explains why predicting market returns and volatilities is so difficult for academics and financial experts. Both the academic community and the financial sector often use volatility as a gauge of investment risk, and it's frequently one of the inputs used to manage trillions of dollars. In fact, if scholars and analysts are able to gain a deeper comprehension of the volatility forecasting problem, it could have a positive impact on the worldwide allocation of investment capital. It is possible to separate financial asset volatility into two categories: upside volatility and downside volatility. These two components, however, are entirely different in terms of predictability due to their distinct qualities. [3]

Challenges in Volatility Forecasting:

Nonlinearity and nonstationary: It is difficult to foresee volatility in financial markets because of its nonlinear and nonstationary behavior. Because market dynamics are subject to change, it is less accurate to forecast future volatility using historical data.

Uncertainty and unanticipated events: Unexpected events, including sudden market disruptions, natural disasters, or geopolitical shocks, can affect volatility projections. These occurrences have the potential to greatly affect volatility and complicate precise predictions.

Data limitations: Volatility forecasting may face difficulties due to the quantity and quality of available data. Inadequate historical data, gaps in the data, or errors in the data might compromise forecasting models' dependability and accuracy. [4]

Review of Literature:

More recently, Anggita et al. (2020) used ARCH/GARCH models to study Indonesia's stock market from 2011 to 2017. According to the study's findings, the EGARCH model performs better at modeling and predicting volatility in emerging markets than linear GARCH models. In another study, Sharma et al. (2021) used linear and non-linear GARCH models to analyze the top five emerging nations among the E7, including China and Indonesia, for the years 2000 to 2019. The study's findings showed that the GARCH model outperformed the non-linear GARCH models in all of the window periods that were chosen, which is in line with Anggita et al. (2020) but contradicts the earlier findings of Srinivasan and Ibrahim (2010). [5]

Because of the previously mentioned complexity of SV models, volatility has traditionally been modeled and forecast primarily using GARCH and SV models, with a propensity towards the latter. A thorough summary of earlier studies on volatility forecasting is provided by Poon (2002). However, they only provide four studies that directly assess the effectiveness of these two models, three of which show that SV performs better. Still, with such a small sample size, it is certainly impossible to draw any broad conclusions. In terms of predictive capacity, the GARCH and SV models are tied, however models that rely on RV have been demonstrated to perform significantly better than models that do not, at least in the short term. To put it another way, using RV gives a forecasting model more power in the near future. It should come as no surprise that the RV-based models accurately predict the total of squared subinterval returns, but the GARCH and SV models do not. Many research have reached this conclusion, and the list is lengthy. The prediction ability of models that in some way combine the concept of RV with (simple) GARCH type models is compared in the majority of these studies. [6]

Tripathy (2009) used the EGARCH, TARARCH, GARCH, and component ARCH models to examine the effects of the introduction of derivative instruments, leverage, and asymmetric effect on spot market volatility using the NSE Nifty as a proxy for the Indian stock market between October 1995 and December 2006. The results indicate that, as a result of the enhanced influence of recent news, spot market efficiency has improved and spot market volatility has decreased since index futures, stock futures, and index options were introduced.

In the spot market, where the conditional variance is an asymmetric function of previous innovation and rises proportionately more during market drops, this research study has also discovered evidence of leverage and an asymmetric effect. Asymmetric GARCH models offer a better match than symmetric GARCH models, according to the research study. [7]

Ahmed (2011) attempted to calculate the conditional variance of volatility in the daily returns of Sudan's main stock exchange, the Khartoum Stock Exchange (KSE), over the period spanning January 2006 to November 2010.

The conditional variance process is highly persistent (explosive process), and they provide evidence of the existence of a risk premium for the KSE index return series, supporting the hypothesis of a positive correlation between volatility and expected stock returns. They used symmetric and asymmetric models that capture the volatility clustering and leverage effect. Additionally, they proposed that the asymmetric models fit data better than the symmetric models, supporting the existence of the leverage effect. [8]

The volatility trend of the Indian stock market was studied by Banumathy (2015). The research employed asymmetric and symmetric models of Generalized Autoregressive conditional Heteroscedastic (GARCH) analysis utilizing daily returns spanning the years 2003 through 2012.

The best fitting models to reflect the symmetric and asymmetric effect, respectively, were determined by the study to be the GARCH, TGARCH, and GARCH models. Additionally, the research supports the GARCH-M (1, 1) model's prediction of a positive and negligible risk premium. Conditional variance (volatility) is significantly impacted by negative shocks, as demonstrated by the asymmetric effect (leverage) represented by the parameter of the TGARCH (1, 1) and EGARCH (1, 1) models. [9]

The field of time-series forecasting has given stock market prediction enough attention over the last 20 years, leading to several studies in this area. Predicting the magnitude and direction of changes in stock prices is regarded as the most difficult task since stock market prices reflect a random walk, which has always been a difficult problem (Meher et al., 2021).

Because proper share price forecasting eventually enables investors to make well-informed decisions on their future investment plans, investors consistently demand accurate stock market forecasting. [10]

Objectives:

- We develop technical variables based on past stock price, volatility and volume.
- The combination of these technical variables can predict future stock volatility.
- The task of volatility forecasting can be simplified when avoiding modeling overhead and by treating it identically to other forecasting problems.
- More complex models like autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (ARCH) and generalized ARCH (GARCH) do not improve forecasting accuracy for the US stock market when benchmarked against simple estimators. The use of option implied volatility data only marginally improves forecasts.

Research Methodology:

The overall design of this study was exploratory. The research paper is an effort that is based on secondary data that was gathered from credible publications, the internet, articles, textbooks, and newspapers. The study's research design is primarily descriptive in nature.

Result and Discussion:

Volatility Analysis Work:

Examining and quantifying a stock's price swings over a given time span is the goal of volatility analysis. It attempts to measure the amount and rate of change in security prices. Measuring the level of risk and potential return attached to a security is the primary objective of volatility analysis. Let's examine the primary techniques utilized in volatility analysis.

- **Historical Volatility:** This methodology quantifies the real volatility shown in historical price fluctuations over a given lookback time, like 20 or 90 days. A stock's historical closing prices throughout the chosen time period are examined in order to compute historical volatility. Standard deviation is the most often used measure for historical volatility. The standard deviation quantifies the degree of dispersion between the price data points and the average price, or statistical mean. Greater volatility and broader price fluctuations are indicated by a higher standard deviation. One may observe that a historical volatility indicator with default settings is associated to the Bank Nifty script in the chart that has been posted below. Huge candles indicate significant price swings during times of increased volatility in the first box, while smaller candles indicate price variations during times of lesser volatility in the second box. Because candles are little, there are less daily price changes.



Figure 1: Volatility Levels

Historical volatility can also be expressed using measures such as variance, beta, R-squared, and more, in addition to standard deviation. Historical volatility gives information on the recent volatility of the stock.

- **Implied Volatility:** Based on the current market pricing of a stock's options, implied volatility is computed. It analyzes the market's anticipation of future volatility using models for pricing options. Historical volatility looks backward, whereas implied volatility looks forward. The implied volatility of a stock is calculated by utilizing the call and put option prices to predict future movements of the underlying stock. In contrast to lower implied volatility, higher implied volatility indicates that the market expects more price movements in the future.
- **Statistical Volatility:** Statistical volatility estimates a range for possible future price movements using quantitative models and forecasts. It doesn't rely on option data or historical pricing directly. EVWMA, JP Morgan's Risk Metrics model, and GARCH are a few frequently utilized statistical techniques. For example, the time series model GARCH forecasts future volatility by utilizing historical volatility data. Forecasted volatility is another term for the predicted future volatility that these statistical models anticipate. Volatility metrics are used by investors to evaluate the risk of different securities, modify the size of their positions, spot trade opportunities, and more. Making wise investing decisions requires an understanding of both historical and anticipated volatility. [11]

Stock Market Volatility:

Strictly speaking, volatility in the stock market refers to how quickly stock prices change over time due to a variety of variables. Investors are becoming more and more aware of the volatility of the stock market as a whole due to the emphasis on risk.

Investors trade stocks in an attempt to make large gains, but there are dangers involved as well as rewards, and volatility often indicates how risky it is to achieve rewards. We can categorize investors into three groups based on their varying risk appetites: risk aversion, risk neutral, and appetite for risk. As Table 1 illustrates, different investor types have varying preferences for risk and return.

Table 1: Different Types of Investors' Preference for Risk and Return.

Investor type	Investment preference
Risk appetite	High risk and high return
Risk neutral	Between two risks
Risk aversion	Low risk and low return

Investor type	Investment preference
Risk appetite	High risk and high return
Risk neutral	Between two risks
Risk aversion	Low risk and low return

There exists a direct correlation between investor risk aversion and stock market volatility. Investor participation in decision-making can be enhanced by researching stock market volatility.

Research previously focused on the volatility of business stock returns. The variation in the company's stock return for the current year, which gauges the operating risk of the business, is how the volatility of the stock return is expressed. Actually, the most accurate indicator is stock turnover rate because it reflects how frequently investors trade stocks.

Stock volatility increases as the stock turnover rate rises. Further evidence of volatility in the stock market comes from the volume of information noise. Put more precisely, it is defined as "the same rise and fall" that occurs when the prices of several equities are in sync with the market's performance. According to this article, when taking a holistic approach to market volatility, it is important to take into account the three indications of stock turnover rate, stock return volatility, and stock price synchrony together. [12]

In order to investigate stock market volatility, the first issue to be resolved is how to measure stock market volatility. Currently available techniques for measuring stock market volatility primarily consist of the following:

Range Method (1). The difference between the extreme values of stock price variations is how this approach calculates the volatility of the stock market. Its quantitative formula is

$$B_{t|} = \max(J_t) - \min(J_t). \quad (1)$$

Among them, J_t stands for the stock volatility's extreme value. This method is not comparable, its volatility assessment accuracy is low, and its index selection is imprecise. Mathematically speaking, the volatility in Brownian motion is equal to the standard deviation of the log price, based on the assumption that stock market volatility obeys Brownian motion. Beginning with the stock itself, the daily rate of return of the stock is equal to the standard deviation of its logarithmic price. All things considered, based on the properties of Brownian motion, we can conclude that the stock price's volatility is equivalent to the volatility of Brownian motion. Stock market volatility can be measured using daily volatility. The following is the calculating formula:

$$\bar{y}park, t = \frac{(InG_t - InD_t)^2}{4In2} \quad (2)$$

(2) The Spread Rate Approach. The relative amplitude approach is suggested as a better way to gauge stock market volatility after the range method is examined and contrasted. The following is the precise calculation formula:

$$AB_2 \frac{\max(J_t) - \min(J_t)}{2} \times 100\% \quad (3)$$

(3) The method of standard deviation. The spread rate method is not applicable to the overall degree of volatility; it is limited to measuring the local characteristics of volatility. In 1982, Markowitz suggested measuring the extent of stock market volatility using the standard deviation method; the precise calculation formula is as follows:

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{x=1}^T (s_t - s), \quad (4)$$

Where $\hat{\sigma}^2$ represents the estimation of the method, s_t is the rate of return of the stock price at t , and s is the mean value of s_t in the statistical time period.

Forecasting Techniques

Data from time series are frequently thought to be non-stationary. Pre-testing is therefore required to confirm that there was a steady relationship between the variables. This would prevent spurious regression issues. To determine if a series is stationary or not, three-unit root tests have been used. The study uses the classic Philips-Perron (PP) test, the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test, and Kwiatkowski, Phillips, Schmidt, and Shin (KPSS, 1992) to check for the presence of unit roots. In first difference, all non-stationary series should have the same number of lags if they are non-stationary in levels. We apply the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Schwartz Bayesian Criterion (SBC) with suitable lag lengths. Furthermore, the GARCH model or Standard Deviation are used to quantify stock market volatility. The observed returns' heteroscedasticity is accommodated by the GARCH model. This method of time series modeling estimates future variations by utilizing historical variance and historical variance forecasts. Leptokurtic time series data are those that have a thick tail distribution. An adaptation of Engle's 1982 Auto Regressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity (ARCH) model is the Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity (GARCH) model. The GARCH model was first put forth by Bollerslev in 1986. This model stood out due to the possibility of temporal correlation between the error variance and the volatility clustering phenomenon. Currently, the Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity (GARCH) model is the most commonly utilized model for estimating the conditional (and thus time-varying) variance of stock and stock-index returns. To gauge volatility, one estimates the GARCH (1, 1).

GARCH (1, 1)

Model GARCH (1, 1) Bollerslev (1986) and Taylor (1986) independently created the GARCH model. Conditional variance in the GARCH (1,1) model is dependent on prior own lag. The average GARCH (1,1) equation is:

$$R_t = c + \beta R_{t-1} + \epsilon_1$$

and the variance equation is:

$$\sigma_t^2 = \omega + \alpha \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta \sigma_{t-1}^2$$

Where ω is constant, s_{t-1}^2 is the ARCH term and σ_{t-1}^2 is the GARCH term.

It is evident that the volatility of today is determined by the volatility and squared error of yesterday.

Historical Volatility Models

Within the class of volatility models, historical volatility models (HIS) are among the most basic. Although the term "HIS" is used differently in different studies, it is typically used to emphasize how these models are different from implied volatility models. The models of exponential smoothing, exponential weighted moving average, simple moving average, and constant volatility model will all be covered in this chapter.

Historical average model (HAM) is the simplest model. $\hat{\sigma}_{t+1}$ is a mean standard deviation calculated over some time interval and then used to forecast future values.

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{t+1}^2 = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^t \sigma_i$$

Although this approach is obviously ineffective, it can be applied quickly. For other ways, this method's error can serve as a standard.

Compared to HAM, the simple moving average (SMA) approach is more sophisticated. This approach constructs a prediction using the most current data. Using the following formula, the predicted volatility at time t+1 is calculated under this method:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{t+1} = \frac{t}{\tau} \sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1} \sigma_{t-i}$$

Where $\tau < t$. In this method information older than τ is not taken into consideration. The parameter τ can be arbitrary or taken in such a way that the error $\varepsilon = (\sigma_t - \hat{\sigma}_t)$ is minimal on some training set.

Exponential smoothing (ES) is another method to compute $\hat{\sigma}_{t+i}$ based on historical values. This method is described by the following formulas:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{t-i} = (1 - \beta)\sigma_t + \beta\hat{\sigma}_t$$

Where $\hat{\sigma}_0 = \sigma_0$,

And smoothing parameter β : $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ is found by minimizing in sample forecast error $\bar{\varepsilon}_t$ of the relation $\sigma_t = (1 - \beta)\sigma_{t-1} + \beta\hat{\sigma}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$. This method, unlike SMA, gives more weight to the recent volatility.

The HIS volatility model exponential weighted moving average (EWMA) is an alternative. The following formula represents this moving average method:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{t+1} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1} \beta^i \sigma_{t-1}}{\sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1} \beta^i}$$

Once more, minimizing the error on a training set is used to estimate the smoothing parameter β . An approach that makes use of exponentially weighted moving average is the JP Morgan Riskmetrics model. [13]

The Volatility Forecasting Problem:

It is necessary to first identify the common notational ground in order to enable formulaic comparisons between various volatility models. The volatility forecasting problem is given a model-free specification in this section. First, let's define time-varying volatility of asset returns (r_t) for the periods $t = 1, \dots, T$.

$$\sigma(t) = \sqrt{\text{VAR}[r_t]}$$

with VAR denoting variance, and variance defined as

$$\text{VAR}[r_t] = E[r_t^2] - E[r_t]^2$$

To simplify notation, set $E[r_t] = c$, and $c=0$ without loss of generality. For all practicality of forecasting financial time series, $E[r_t]^2$ can often be assumed to be negligible because $E[r_t]$ is close to zero and the square of it even more so. Now, we define the variable y_t , which is the squared return of an asset $y_t = r_t^2$. Hence, we get

$$\text{VAR}[r_t] = E[y_t]$$

This takes us back to standard methodology to derive estimated forecasts for y_t that get the label $\hat{E}[y_t]$ and to use the standard ways to assess forecasting accuracy.

Squared returns and variance are equivalent in terms of predicting. This method of approaching the forecasting problem has the advantage of being frugally approached, and volatility forecasting is handled just like any other forecasting problem. Next, predicted volatility is determined by

$$\hat{\sigma}(t) = \sqrt{\hat{E}[y_t]}$$

One can utilize the standard estimating framework for calibration. For example, by minimizing the mean squared error in [14], the preceding equations can be fitted with ordinary least squares.

$$MSQE = 1/T \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{E}[y_t])^2$$

Conclusion:

The investigation of stock market returns and volatility is a relatively new and significant area of study. The availability of easily accessible researchable data and processing power has led to a proliferation of study on the volatility and return of the stock market. When it comes to studying stock market volatilities and returns, the GARCH type models offer an excellent model. Different models in the GARCH family have become more and more popular in the last few years. Each model has unique advantages and disadvantages and influences a significant number of GARCH models.

References:

1. Araci, D. (2019). FinBERT: Financial sentiment analysis with pre-trained language models. ArXiv, abs/1908.10063.
2. Audrino, F., Sigrist, F., & Ballinari, D. (2020). The impact of sentiment and attention measures on stock market volatility. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 36, 334–357.
3. Blitz, D., Huisman, R., Swinkels, L., & van Vliet, P. (2020). Media attention and the volatility effect. *Finance Research Letters*, 36, 101317.
4. Christensen, K., Siggaard, M., & Veliyev, B. (2022). A machine learning approach to volatility forecasting. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*, Online, 21, 1680–1727.
5. Anggita LP, Nugraha N, Waspada I (2020) Forecasting volatility stock price using the ARCH/GARCH method: evidence from the Indonesia stock exchanges. In: Press CRC (ed) *Advances in business, management and entrepreneurship*. pp 385–388
6. Poon (2002), Forecasting volatility in financial markets: a review, *Journal of economic literature*, vol 41(2), 478-539
7. Tripathy N., Rao S.V. Ramana, Kanagaraj A. (2009) ‘Impact of Derivatives Trading on Spot Market Volatility: An Empirical Study’, *International Journal of Applied Decision Sciences* 2(2): 209-232.
8. Ahmed, A. E. M, and Suliman, S. Z. 2011. ‘Modeling Stock Market Volatility using GARCH Models Evidence from Sudan’. *The Special Issue on Arts, Commerce and Social Science* 2(23): 114-128.
9. Banumathy, K. and Azhagaiah, R. 2015. ‘Modelling Stock Market Volatility: Evidence from India’. *Managing Global Transitions* 13 (1): 27–42
10. Kumar Meher, B., Thonse Hawaldar, I., Spulbar, C., and Birau, R. (2021). Forecasting Stock Market Prices Using Mixed ARIMA Model: a Case Study of Indian

Pharmaceutical Companies. *Invest. Manag. Financial Innovations* 18 (1), 42–54.
doi:10.21511/imfi.18(1).2021.04

11. Z. Dai, X. Dong, J. Kang, and L. Hong, “Forecasting stock market returns: new technical indicators and two-step economic constraint method,” *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, vol. 53, Article ID 101216, 2020.
12. S. Jordan, A. Vivian, and M. Wohar, “Forecasting market returns, bagging or combining?” *International Journal of Forecasting*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 102–120, 2018.
13. Karhunen M. Algorithmic sign prediction and covariate selection across eleven international stock markets. *Expert Syst Appl.* 2019; 115:256-263.
14. Petrov, Vladimir; Golub, Anton; Olsen, Richard (June 2019). "Instantaneous Volatility Seasonality of High-Frequency Markets in Directional-Change Intrinsic Time". *Journal of Risk and Financial Management.* 12 (2): 54.